



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TJR  
Docket No: 1883-00  
21 July 2000

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF [REDACTED]

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. 1552  
(b) SECNAVINST 1910.4B

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 with attachments  
(2) Case summary  
(3) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Petitioner, a former enlisted member of the United States Marine Corps filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting, in effect, that his discharge be upgraded.

2. The Board, consisting of Mr. Geisler, Ms. Hardbower, and Mr. Milner, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 19 July 2000 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:

a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.

b. Although it appears that enclosure (1) was not filed in a timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and review the application on its merit.

c. Petitioner enlisted in the Marine Corps on 20 April 1968 at the age of 17.

d. Petitioner served for nearly two years without incident, but on 20 April 1970 he was convicted by special court-martial (SPCM) of a 43 day period of unauthorized absence (UA) and sentenced to confinement at hard labor for a month and a \$60 forfeiture of pay.

e. After returning from his period of UA, on 6 May 1970, Petitioner submitted a written statement in which he admitted to participating in homosexual activities while on he was in a UA status.

f. Subsequently, Petitioner was administratively processed for an other than honorable discharge by reason of homosexuality. On 28 May 1970 Petitioner was so discharged. At the time of his discharge Petitioner's conduct mark was 2.8.

g. Character of service is based, in part, on conduct and overall trait averages which are computed from marks assigned during periodic evaluations. An average of 4.0 in conduct was required for a fully honorable characterization of service at the time of Petitioner's separation.

h. Reference (b) sets forth the Department of the Navy's current policies, standards, and procedures for administratively separating enlisted service members. With regard to homosexuality, reference (b) declares such behavior to be incompatible with Naval Service. It provides Navy and Marine Corps officials with the authority to involuntarily separate those service members who commit a homosexual act or acts. If separated, the service member's discharge and character of service must be based on his or her total performance of duty and conduct. Reference (b) expressly prohibits the issuance of a discharge under conditions other than honorable unless the homosexual act is committed under one of the following circumstances:

- (1) By using force, coercion, or intimidation;
- (2) with a person under 16 years of age;
- (3) with a subordinate in circumstances that violate customary naval superior-subordinate relationships;
- (4) openly in public view;
- (5) for compensation;
- (6) aboard a naval vessel or aircraft; or
- (7) in another location subject to military control under aggravating circumstances noted in the finding that have an adverse impact on discipline, good order, or morale comparable to the impact of such activity aboard a vessel or aircraft.

i. Normally, an individual separated due to homosexuality received an honorable discharge if there were no aggravating

factors and the conduct and proficiency averages are at least 4.0 and 3.8, respectively. Otherwise, a general discharge was issued.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants favorable action.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants favorable action. Based upon Petitioner's overall record of service and current Department of the Navy Policy as established in reference (b) and its radical departure from the policy which was in effect on 28 May 1970, the date of Petitioner's discharge, the Board concludes that it would be in the interest of justice to retroactively apply the standards of reference (b) to Petitioner's case. Using the standards of reference (b) the Board finds that relief in the form of recharacterization to general is appropriate given his conduct average.

RECOMMENDATION:

a. That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to show that he received a general discharge on 28 May 1970 vice the other than honorable discharge actually issued on that date.

b. That a copy of this Report of Proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

c. That, upon request, the Veterans Administration be informed that Petitioner's application was received by the Board on 14 March 2000.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.


ROBERT D. ZSALMAN  
Recorder



ALAN E. GOLDSMITH  
Acting Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulation, Section 723.6(e))

and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.



W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director